Complete Set of Comments on the COB Energy Facility DEIS

	\rightarrow	\
9	10	
1.	W	
		1

COB Energy Facility Project

Tom McKinney Specialist

2/13/2004

Comment Close Date:

First Name

Last Name

Affiliation

Receipt Date

EF&W

Program Office:

Notes

24-Dec-03

Comment Log# 2COBEF-001 2COBEF-002

12/24/2003 Kenney Brock David O. Lyn

PDX/041750022.PDF

Friday 28 Nov. 2003

To: Lead Agency , DOE

Re: COB Energy facility

IA

Parties of concern:

My attendance at your presentations in Lorella, OR must have precipitated your Summary of DEIS which was mailed to me.

In respect of the affected values listed, I still feel the needed economic growth to the region and power supply generated - are major contributions needed to this

Specific area.

Once again let me go on record

IN FAVOR of the proposed action:

construction of the COB Energy

Facility AND the 7.2 mile BPA

transmission line.

David O. Kenney 736 Doty St. KLAMATH FALLS OR 97601 (P.D. Box 1665, CORVALLIS OR) 97339

Kuehn, Ginny - DM-7

From: McKinney, Thomas - KEC-4

Sent: Monday, December 08, 2003 2:19 PM

To: Kuehn, Ginny - DM-7

Subject: FW: COB Energy Facility EIS

Please log this in as a comment on the COB draft EIS.

----Original Message----

From: Lyn Brock [mailto:brock@kfalls.net]
Sent: Thursday, December 04, 2003 3:00 PM

To: tcmckinney@bpa.gov

Cc: VANHORN Catherine; Thane Jennings

Subject: COB Energy Facility EIS

Mr. Tom McKinney, BPA,

I have some questions about some discrepancies in the COB Energy Facility EIS.

STORMWATER:

Figure 2-3 in the EIS Appendix C still shows water from roof drains, parking areas, etc. as infiltrating into the ground. This means that chemicals from the process (e.g. gas combustion) will end up in groundwater and in our ditches and in Lost River because our storms e.g. June thundershowers can drop several inches of rain in just a few hours ... maybe two to four inches in two hours ... and some of that is going to run off and enter the ditches and eventually find its way into the river.

(For reference: I believe that Figure 2.3 in the EIS Appendix C is the same diagram a Figure 1.1 in Amendment 2, Stormwater Drainage)

- The EIS page 4-6 (very top of page) still discusses possibility of discharging stormwater into West Langell Valley Roadside ditches.
- Amendment 2 page B-5 says there will be no discharge of stormwater into surface waters or drainage ditches.

Can you explain these discrepancies to me?

WASTEWATER:

- Figure 2-3 in EIS Appendix C shows Plant Drain System as a closed loop I believe the solids would eventually need to be disposed ... Does the book reference how that would be accomplished?
- 2E EIS page 2-8 talks about Storing and Hauling to Wastewater Treatment Plan ...

and yet ...

Amendment 2 says on page B-4 that alternative of hauling to a wastewater treatment plant is no longer a viable option.

Can you explain these discrepancies to me?

Comment: As ordinary citizens we are having to spend a great deal of time and money to defend our property rights, our water rights, and our way of life. We are being given a limited amount of time to draft and submit our responses to these lengthy and very technical documents and yet the documents have numerous errors and inconsistencies. It does not seem right to me that we should have to deal with incorrect data. Let's require COB and CH2M Hill to correct their documents before we are required to respond.

Sincerely,

Lyn Brock Bonanza, Oregon

(541) 545-1205

brock@kfalls.net

copies to Cathy Van Horn, OOE, and Thane Jennings, ODEQ